



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,453	02/09/2001	Christopher C. Tanner	1930.0070002	6696	
26111 7	590 03/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
WASHINGTO	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2122		
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/779,453

Examiner

Michael J. Yigdall

Applicant(s)

TANNER ET AL.

Art Unit

2122

•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Yigdall	2122	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Michael V. Messinger (37,575)</u> .	(3) <u>Michael J. Yigdall</u> .		
(2) Brian Lee.	(4) <u>Tuan Q. Dam</u> .		
Date of Interview: 23 February 2005.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)□ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendments faxed	e)⊡ No. <u>on February 18, 2005</u> .		
Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,5 and 14.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		,	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the claim lang the invention</u> . Awaiting a formal written reply. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend	uage and the proposed amen	dments to clarify	features of
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT of Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



Arron	METALL DIEL			
Fax	Urgent	Return reply	requested	Original will be sent as confirmation
To: USPTO			Date: F	ebruary 18, 2005
Attention: Ex	aminer Michae	l J. Yigdall	For	pl. No. 09/779,453; Filed 02/09/01 : System and Method for Leveraging
From: Michae	el V. Messinger	W	Con	lependent Innovation in Entertainment ntent and Graphics Hardware entors: TANNER et al.
Pages (includir	ng cover sheet):	3		
Fax No: 571-2	273-3707		Our Rei	ference: 2198.0070002
Messa	.ge			
Please see atta	ched		,	

Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Name 2-/18/03

please sign and return this page as acknowledgment of receipt

366309_1.DOC

'If any portion of this transmission is not received clearly or in full, contact us at 202.371.2600 or f 202.371.2540

This message is intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this communication in any way is scrictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please call us collect immediately, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

Steme, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.: 1100 New York Avenue, NW: Washington, DC 20005: 202.371.2600 f 202.371.2540: www.skql.com

PTQL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031
Tredemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applican	t Initiated Interview	w Request 1		
Application No.: 09/ Examiner: Yigdall.	779,453 Michael J.	First Named Applican Art Unit: 2122	t: Christopher Status of App	C. TANNER	Pending
Tentative Participa	nts:	(2) Brian Lee			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(4)			
Proposed Date of It	iterview:	02/22/2005	Proposed T	ime: 10:00	(AM/PM)
Type of Interview f (1) [] Telephonic	Requested: (2) [x] Perso	onal (3) [] Video	Conference		
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief	or Demonstra description:	ated: [] YES	XJ NO		
		Issues To Be Disc			
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	1-19	Art Applied References in Final OA	[]	[]	[]
(2)			[]	[]	[]
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4)	eet Attached		[]	[]	[]
Brief Description of	f Arguments to i	be Presented: Unamended claim 1, (2)	337hanahar alas	6 . 1	
		n of claim 1, (4) Possibl			
NOTE: This form st (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will	nould be complete not be delayed fro , applicant is ad	above-identified applicated by applicant and submit on issue because of applicated to file a statement of the Signature	ted to the exami nt's failure to so te substance of t	iner in advanc ubmit a writte	n record of this (37 CFR 1.133(b))
Michael V. Messi Typed/Printed Nam		Representative			
37,575 Registration	n Number, if app	licable			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a bosoft by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 industry to complete, lockulding gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this bordon, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Bes 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

365,683

A. (Proposed) A method for supporting development of content independent of a run time platform, comprising the steps of:

storing processing blocks that define content; and

storing an application graph that expresses the identity of the stored processing blocks and data connectivity between the stored processing blocks; whereby, the application graph can be traversed and modified by a graphical application platform at run time to execute appropriate processing blocks on a run time platform.

B. (Proposed) A method for supporting development of content independent of multiple hardware platforms, comprising the steps of:

storing processing blocks that define content independent of multiple hardware platforms;

selecting a target hardware platform from multiple hardware platforms;

storing an application graph that expresses the identity of the stored processing blocks and data connectivity between the stored processing blocks based on the selected target hardware platform; and

traversing the application graph at run time, including modifying the application graph and executing appropriate processing blocks on the selected target hardware platform.